arXiv:1012.3636v1 [math.PR] 16 Dec 2010

A SHARP CORRELATION INEQUALITY WITH AN APPLICATION TO ALMOST SURE LOCAL LIMIT THEOREM

MICHEL WEBER

Abstract. We prove a new sharp correlation inequality for sums of i.i.d. square integrable lattice distributed random variables. We also apply it to establish an almost sure local limit theorem for iid square integrable random variables taking values in an arbitrary lattice. This extends a recent similar result jointly obtained with Antonini-Giuliano, under a slightly stronger absolute moment assumption (of order $2+u$ with $u>0$). The approach used to treat the case $u>0$ breaks down when $u=0$. MacDonald's concept of the Bernoulli part of a random variable is used in a crucial way to remedy this.

2010 AMS Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary: 60F15, 60G50 ; Secondary: 60F05.

Keywords and phrases: Correlation inequality, i.i.d. random variables, lattice distributed, Bernoulli part, square integrable, local limit theorem, almost sure version.

This is the extended version of a paper that is to appear in *Probability and Mathematical Statistics.*

1. Introduction

Throughout this work, we are concerned with i.i.d. square integrable random variables having lattice distribution. Let v_0 and $D>0$ be some reals and let $\mathcal{L}(v_0,D)$ be the lattice defined by the sequence $v_k=v_0+Dk$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Consider a random variable X such that $\mathbb{P}\{X\in\mathcal{L}(v_0,D)\}=1$. We assume that D (the span of X) is maximal, i.e. there is no integer multiple D' of D for which $\mathbb{P}\{X\in\mathcal{L}(v_0,D')\}=1$. We further assume

$$\mathbb{E}X \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}X^2 \quad \text{are finite.} \tag{1.1}$$

Let $\mu=\mathbb{E}X$ and $\sigma^2=\mathbb{E}X^2-(\mathbb{E}X)^2$, which we assume to be positive (otherwise X is degenerated). Under these assumptions, the local limit theorem holds. Let $\{X_k,k\ge 1\}$ be independent copies of X, and consider their partial sums $S_n=X_1+\ldots+X_n$, $n\ge 1$. To be precise, we have ([5], §43),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{N=v_0n+Dk}\Big|\sqrt{n}\mathbb{P}\{S_n=N\}-\frac{D}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}e^{-\frac{(N-n\mu)^2}{2n\sigma^2}}\Big|=0. \tag{1.2}$$

Now let $\kappa_n\in\mathcal{L}(nv_0,D)$, $n=1,2,\ldots$ be a sequence of reals satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\kappa_n-n\mu}{\sqrt{n}}=\kappa. \tag{1.3}$$

The central result of the paper is the following correlation inequality which we believe to be hardly improvable.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that*

$$\mathbb{P}\{X=k\}\wedge\mathbb{P}\{X=k+1\}>0 \qquad \text{for some } k\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.4}$$

Then there exists a constant C depending on the sequence $\{\kappa_n, n\ge 1\}$ such that for all $1\le m<n$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{nm}\,\Big|\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n, S_m=\kappa_m\}-\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n\}\mathbb{P}\{S_m=\kappa_m\}\Big|\\ \le\ & C\Big\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}+\frac{n^{1/2}}{(n-m)^{3/2}}\Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.2. *Let $0<c<1$. Under assumption (1.4), there exists a constant C_c such that for all $1\le m\le cn$,*

$$\sqrt{nm}\,\Big|\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n, S_m=\kappa_m\}-\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n\}\mathbb{P}\{S_m=\kappa_m\}\Big| \ \le\ C_c\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}.$$

Remark 1.3. Condition (1.4) seems to be somehow artificial. It is for instance clearly not satisfied if $\mathbb{P}\{X\in\mathcal{N}\}=1$ where $\mathcal{N}=\{\nu_j, j\ge 1\}$ is an increasing sequence of integers such that $\nu_{j+1}-\nu_j>1$ for all j. This already defines a large class of examples. However, condition (1.4) is natural in our setting. By the local limit theorem (1.2), under condition (1.3),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{n}\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\ell_n\}=\frac{D}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}e^{-\frac{\kappa^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \qquad (\ell_n\equiv\kappa_n \text{ or } \ell_n\equiv\kappa_n+1).$$

Then for some $n_\kappa<\infty$, $\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n\}\wedge\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n+1\}>0$ if $n\ge n_\kappa$. Changing X for $X'=S_{n_\kappa}$, we see that X' satisfies (1.4).

When X has stronger integrability property, to be precise when $\mathbb{E}|X|^{2+\varepsilon}<\infty$ for some positive ε, we proved in [4], (Proposition 6) a similar result:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{nm}\,\Big|\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n, S_m=\kappa_m\}-\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n\}\mathbb{P}\{S_m=\kappa_m\}\Big|\\ \le\ & C\Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}+\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-m}}\ \frac{1}{(n-m)^{\alpha}}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

with (here and below) $\alpha=\varepsilon/2$. Condition (1.4) was not needed. The second inequality of Theorem 1.1 follows in that case directly from (1.5). The proof uses crucially a local limit theorem with remainder term

Theorem 1.4. ([6] Theorem 4.5.3) *Let F denote the distribution function of X. In order that the property*

$$\sup_{N=an+dk}\Big|\sqrt{n}\mathbb{P}\{S_n=N\}-\frac{d}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}e^{-\frac{(N-n\mu)^2}{2n\sigma^2}}\Big|=\mathcal{O}\big(n^{-\alpha}\big),\ 0<\alpha<1/2, \tag{1.6}$$

holds, it is necessary and sufficient that the following conditions be satisfied:

1) $d=D$,

2) *as* $u\to\infty$, $\displaystyle\int_{|x|\ge u}x^2F(dx)=\mathcal{O}(u^{-2\alpha})$

When $\varepsilon = 0$, this can obviously no longer be applied, and another approach has to be implemented. Notice that even when $\varepsilon > 0$ our result is stronger than (1.5).

An application of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 4. We obtain an almost sure local limit theorem for i.i.d. square integrable lattice distributed random variables.

2. Preliminary Results

Here we follow an important approach due to MacDonald ([2], see also [3]). Let $0 < \vartheta < 1$ be fixed. Put

$$f(k) = \mathbb{P}\{X = v_k\}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We assume that there exists a sequence $\underline{\tau} = \{\tau_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of non-negative reals such that

$$\tau_{k-1} + \tau_k \le 2f(k), \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, \qquad \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \tau_k = \vartheta.$$

If we choose $\vartheta = \vartheta_X = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f(k) \wedge f(k+1)$, then this is realized with $\tau_k = f(k) \wedge f(k+1)$. Notice that $\vartheta_X < 1$. Indeed, let k_0 be some integer such that $f(k_0) > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} f(k) \wedge f(k+1) \le \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} f(k+1) = \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} f(k)$$

And so $\vartheta_X \le \sum_{k<k_0} f(k) + \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} f(k) < 1$.

Notice also that $\vartheta_X > 0$. This follows from assumption (1.4), and is further necessary in order to make this approach efficient. MacDonald's construction applies to the slightly more general case we consider, and is even easier to present. We define a pair of random variables (V, ε) as follows. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{(V,\varepsilon) = (v_k, 1)\} &= \tau_k, \\ \mathbb{P}\{(V,\varepsilon) = (v_k, 0)\} &= f(k) - \frac{\tau_{k-1} + \tau_k}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

This is well defined by assumption. Observe that

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \Big[\mathbb{P}\{(V,\varepsilon) = (v_k, 1)\} + \mathbb{P}\{(V,\varepsilon) = (v_k, 0)\}\Big] = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} f(k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \big[\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}\big] = 1.$$

Lemma 2.1. *We have for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$\mathbb{P}\{V = v_k\} = f(k) + \frac{\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}}{2},$$

and $\mathbb{P}\{\varepsilon = 1\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{\varepsilon = 0\} = \vartheta$.

Proof. Plainly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{V = v_k\} &= \mathbb{P}\{(V,\varepsilon) = (v_k, 1)\} + \mathbb{P}\{(V,\varepsilon) = (v_k, 0)\} \\ &= f(k) + \frac{1}{2}\big[\tau_k - \tau_{k-1}\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Further $\mathbb{P}\{\varepsilon = 1\} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{P}\{(V,\varepsilon) = (v_k, 1)\} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \tau_k = \vartheta$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let L be a Bernoulli random variable ($\mathbb{P}\{L=0\}=\mathbb{P}\{L=1\}=1/2$) which is independent from (V,ε), and put $Z=V+\varepsilon DL$. We have $Z\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}X$.*

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{Z=v_k\} &= \mathbb{P}\big\{V+\varepsilon DL=v_k,\varepsilon=1\big\}+\mathbb{P}\big\{V+\varepsilon DL=v_k,\varepsilon=0\big\}\\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}\{V=v_{k-1},\varepsilon=1\}+\mathbb{P}\{V=v_k,\varepsilon=1\}}{2}+\mathbb{P}\{V=v_k,\varepsilon=0\}\\ &= \frac{\tau_{k-1}+\tau_k}{2}+f(k)-\frac{\tau_{k-1}+\tau_k}{2}\\ &= f(k).\end{aligned}$$

□

Now let $\{X_j, j\ge1\}$ be independent copies of X. According to the previous construction, we may associate to them a sequence $\{(V_j,\varepsilon_j,L_j), j\ge1\}$ of independent copies of (V,ε,L) such that

$$\{V_j+\varepsilon_j DL_j, j\ge1\}\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\{X_j, j\ge1\}.$$

Further $\{(V_j,\varepsilon_j), j\ge1\}$ and $\{L_j, j\ge1\}$ are independent sequences. And $\{L_j, j\ge1\}$ is a sequence of independent Bernoulli random variables. Set

$$S_n=\sum_{j=1}^n X_j,\qquad W_n=\sum_{j=1}^n V_j,\qquad M_n=\sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j L_j,\qquad B_n=\sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon_j. \tag{2.2}$$

We notice that M_n is a sum of exactly B_n Bernoulli random variables. The Lemma below is now immediate.

Lemma 2.3. *We have the representation*

$$\{S_n, n\ge1\}\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\{W_n+DM_n, n\ge1\}.$$

And $M_n\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\sum_{j=1}^{B_n}L_j$.

We need an extra lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $0<\theta\le\vartheta$. For any positive integer n, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\{B_n\le\theta n\}\le\Big(\frac{1-\vartheta}{1-\theta}\Big)^{n(1-\theta)}\Big(\frac{\vartheta}{\theta}\Big)^{n\theta}.$$

Let $1-\vartheta<\rho<1$. There exists $0<\theta<\vartheta$, $\theta=\theta(\rho,\vartheta)$ such that for any positive integer n

$$\mathbb{P}\{B_n\le\theta n\}\le\rho^n.$$

Proof. By Tchebycheff's inequality, for any $\lambda\ge0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\{B_n\le\theta n\} &= \mathbb{P}\{e^{-\lambda B_n}\ge e^{-\lambda\theta n}\}\le e^{-\lambda\theta n}\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda B_n}=\big(e^{\lambda\theta}\mathbb{E}e^{\lambda\varepsilon}\big)^n\\ &= \Big(e^{\lambda\theta}\big[1-\vartheta(1-e^{-\lambda})\big]\Big)^n.\end{aligned}$$

Put $x=e^{\lambda}$, $(x\ge1)$ and let $\varphi(x)=x^{\theta}\big[1-\vartheta(1-x^{-1})\big]$. Then $\mathbb{P}\{B_n\le\theta n\}\le\varphi(x)^n$. We have $\varphi'(x)=x^{\theta-2}(x\theta(1-\vartheta)-(1-\theta)\vartheta)$. Thus φ reaches its minimum at the value $x_0 = \frac{(1-\theta)\vartheta}{\theta(1-\vartheta)}$. And we have $\varphi(x_0) = \psi(\theta)$, where we put

$$\psi(\theta) = \Big(\frac{1-\vartheta}{1-\theta}\Big)^{1-\theta}\Big(\frac{\vartheta}{\theta}\Big)^{\theta}, \qquad 0 < \theta \le \vartheta.$$

We note that $\psi(\vartheta) = 1$, $\lim_{\theta\to 0+}\psi(\theta) = 1-\vartheta$ and ψ is nondecreasing ($(\log\psi)'(\theta) = \log\big(\frac{\vartheta}{1-\vartheta}\big/\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\big) \ge 0$, $0 < \theta \le \vartheta$). Let $1-\vartheta < \rho < 1$. We may select $0 < \theta_{\rho,\vartheta} < \vartheta$ depending on ρ, ϑ only such that $\psi(\theta) = \rho$. This yields the bound

$$\mathbb{P}\{B_n \le \theta n\} \le \rho^n. \tag{2.3}$$

□

We choose

$$\rho = 1 - (\vartheta/2),$$

and let $0 < \theta < \vartheta$ such that in view of the preceding Lemma $\mathbb{P}\{B_n \le \theta n\} \le \rho^n$ and $\mathbb{P}\{B_n - B_m \le \theta(n-m)\} \le \rho^{n-m}$ for all integers $n > m \ge 1$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Put

$$Y_n = \sqrt{n}\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\}\big). \tag{3.1}$$

We have to establish that there a constant C such that for all $1 \le m < n$

$$\big|\mathbb{E}Y_nY_m\big| \quad\le\quad C\Big\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1} + \frac{n^{1/2}}{(n-m)^{3/2}}\Big\}. \tag{3.2}$$

And given $0 < c < 1$, that there exists a constant C_c such that for all $1 \le m \le cn$,

$$\big|\mathbb{E}Y_nY_m\big| \quad\le\quad C_c\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}. \tag{3.3}$$

We denote by $\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}$, $\mathbb{P}_{(V,\varepsilon)}$ (resp. $\mathbb{E}_L$, $\mathbb{P}_L$) the expectation and probability symbols relatively to the σ-algebra generated by the sequence $\{(V_j,\varepsilon_j), j = 1,\dots,n\}$ (resp. $\{L_j, j = 1,\dots,n\}$). We know that these algebra are independent. Let $n > m \ge 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathbb{E}Y_nY_m}{\sqrt{nm}} &= \mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n, S_m = \kappa_m\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\}\mathbb{P}\{S_m = \kappa_m\} \\
&= \mathbb{P}\{S_m = \kappa_m\}\mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_m = \kappa_n - \kappa_m\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\}\mathbb{P}\{S_m = \kappa_m\} \\
&= \mathbb{P}\{S_m = \kappa_m\}\big(\mathbb{P}\{S_{n-m} = \kappa_n - \kappa_m\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\}\big),
\end{aligned}$$

we get for $n > m$

$$\mathbb{E}Y_nY_m = \sqrt{m}\mathbb{P}\{S_m = \kappa_m\}\,\sqrt{n}\big(\mathbb{P}\{S_{n-m} = \kappa_n - \kappa_m\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\}\big). \tag{3.4}$$

Further when $n = m$, by (1.2)

$$\mathbb{E}Y_n^2 = n\mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\}\big(1 - \mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\}\big) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n}). \tag{3.5}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
A &:= \sqrt{n}\Big(\mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_m = \kappa_n - \kappa_m\} - \mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\}\Big) \\
&= \sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n\le n\theta\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta\}}\big)\Big(\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n-S_m=\kappa_n-\kappa_m\}} - \mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4

$$\sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n\le n\theta\}}\big|\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n-S_m=\kappa_n-\kappa_m\}}-\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}}\big| \quad\le\quad \sqrt{n}\,\rho^n.$$

Thus

$$\Big|A-\sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta\}}\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n-S_m=\kappa_n-\kappa_m\}}-\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}}\big)\Big|\le\sqrt{n}\,\rho^n. \tag{3.6}$$

We can write in view of Lemma 2.3

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta\}}\Big(\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n-S_m=\kappa_n-\kappa_m\}}-\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}}\Big)\\
=\ &\sqrt{n}\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta\}}\Big(\mathbb{P}_L\Big\{D\sum_{j=m+1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j=\kappa_n-\kappa_m-(W_n-W_m)\Big\}\\
&-\mathbb{P}_L\big\{D\sum_{j=1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j=\kappa_n-W_n\big\}\Big)
\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Observe that if $B_n=B_m$, then $\sum_{j=1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j=\sum_{j=1}^m\varepsilon_jL_j$. Thus

$$\Big\{D\sum_{j=m+1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j=\kappa_n-\kappa_m-(W_n-W_m)\Big\}=\Big\{W_n-W_m=\kappa_n-\kappa_m\Big\}.$$

So that (3.7) may be continued with

$$\begin{aligned}
=\ &\sqrt{n}\Big\{\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta,B_n=B_m\}}\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{W_n-W_m=\kappa_n-\kappa_m\}}\\
&-\mathbb{P}_L\big\{D\sum_{j=1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j=\kappa_n-W_n\big\}\big)\Big\}\\
&+\sqrt{n}\Big\{\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta,B_n>B_m\}}\Big[\mathbb{P}_L\Big\{D\sum_{j=m+1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j=\kappa_n-\kappa_m-\\
&(W_n-W_m)\Big\}-\mathbb{P}_L\big\{D\sum_{j=1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j=\kappa_n-W_n\big\}\Big]\Big\}\\
:=\ &A'+A''.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

We bound A' as follows

$$|A'|\le\sqrt{n}\mathbb{P}\{B_n=B_m\}=\sqrt{n}\,2^{-(n-m)}. \tag{3.9}$$

Now concerning A'', we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\sum_{j=1}^{B_n}L_j\qquad\sum_{j=m+1}^n\varepsilon_jL_j\overset{\mathcal{D}}{=}\sum_{j=B_m+1}^{B_n}L_j.$$

Now we need a local limit theorem for Bernoulli sums. By applying Theorem 13 in Chapter 7 of [8], we obtain

$$\sup_z\Big|\sqrt{N}\,\mathbb{P}\{\sum_{j=1}^NL_j=z\}\}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(z-(N/2))^2}{(N/2)}}\Big|=o\Big(\frac{1}{N}\Big). \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore

$$\Big|\mathbb{P}_L\Big\{D\sum_{j=1}^{B_n} L_j = \kappa_n - W_n\Big\} - \frac{2e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - W_n - (B_n/2))^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi B_n}}\Big| = o\Big(\frac{1}{B_n^{3/2}}\Big).$$

And on the set $\{B_n > B_m\}$

$$\Big|\mathbb{P}_L\Big\{D\sum_{j=1}^{B_n - B_m} L_j = \kappa_n - \kappa_m - (W_n - W_m)\Big\} - \frac{2e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - \kappa_m - (W_n - W_m) - (B_n - B_m)/2)^2}{D^2(B_n - B_m)/2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(B_n - B_m)}}\Big| = o\Big(\frac{1}{(B_n - B_m)^{3/2}}\Big).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|A''| \quad &\le \quad \sqrt{n}\,\Big|\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n > n\theta, B_n > B_m\}}\Big\{\frac{2e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - W_n - (B_n/2))^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}}}{\sqrt{2\pi B_n}} \\
&\qquad - \frac{2e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - \kappa_m - (W_n - W_m) - (B_n - B_m)/2)^2}{D^2(B_n - B_m)/2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi(B_n - B_m)}}\Big\}\Big| \\
&\qquad + C_0\sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n > n\theta, B_n > B_m\}}\Big\{\frac{1}{B_n^{3/2}} + \Big(\frac{1}{(B_n - B_m)^{3/2}}\Big)\Big\} \\
:= \quad & A''_1 + C_0 A''_2. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

And the constant C_0 comes from the Landau symbol o in (3.10). The second term is easily estimated. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
A''_2 \quad &= \quad \sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n > n\theta, B_n > B_m\}}\Big(\frac{1}{B_n^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{(B_n - B_m)^{3/2}}\Big) \\
&\le \quad 2\sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{P}\{B_n - B_m \le (n-m)\theta\} \\
&\qquad + \sqrt{n}\,\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n - B_m > (n-m)\theta\}}\Big(\frac{1}{(n\theta)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{(B_n - B_m)^{3/2}}\Big) \\
&\le \quad C\sqrt{n}\Big\{\rho^{n-m} + \frac{1}{(n\theta)^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{((n-m)\theta)^{3/2}}\Big\}. \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

We now estimate A''_1, which we bound as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
A''_1 \quad &\le \quad C\sqrt{n}\ \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n > n\theta, B_n > B_m\}}\Big\{\Big|\frac{e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - W_n - (B_n/2))^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}}}{\sqrt{B_n}} \\
&\qquad - \frac{e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - \kappa_m - (W_n - W_m) - (B_n - B_m)/2)^2}{D^2(B_n - B_m)/2}}}{\sqrt{(B_n - B_m)}}\Big|\Big\} \\
&\le \quad C\ \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n > n\theta, B_n > B_m\}}\Big\{\Big(\frac{n}{B_n}\Big)^{1/2}\Big[\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_n - B_m}} - 1\Big] \\
&\qquad \times e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - \kappa_m - (W_n - W_m) - (B_n - B_m)/2)^2}{D^2(B_n - B_m)/2}}\Big\} \\
&\qquad + C\ \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n > n\theta, B_n > B_m\}}\Big\{\Big(\frac{n}{B_n}\Big)^{1/2}\Big|e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - W_n - (B_n/2))^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}} \\
&\qquad - e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n - \kappa_m - (W_n - W_m) - (B_n - B_m)/2)^2}{D^2(B_n - B_m)/2}}\Big|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_\theta\Big\{ \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta, B_n>B_m\}}\Big[\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_n-B_m}}-1\Big] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta, B_n>B_m\}}\Big|e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n-W_n-(B_n/2))^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}} \\
&\quad -e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n-\kappa_m-(W_n-W_m)-(B_n-B_m)/2)^2}{D^2(B_n-B_m)/2}}\Big|\Big\} \\
&:= C_\theta\big\{A''_{11}+A''_{12}\big\}. \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

In the one hand, on the set $\{B_n > B_m\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_n-B_m}}-1 &= \frac{\sqrt{B_n}-\sqrt{B_n-B_m}}{\sqrt{B_n-B_m}} = \frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_n-B_m}}\frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_n}+\sqrt{B_n-B_m}} \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_n-B_m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
A''_{11} &= \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta, B_n>B_m\}}\Big[\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_n-B_m}}-1\Big] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta, B_n>B_m\}}\frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_n-B_m}} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>B_m\}}\frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_n-B_m}} \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\Big(\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n-B_m\leq(n-m)\theta\}} \\
&\quad +\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n-B_m>(n-m)\theta\}}\Big)\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>B_m\}}\frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_n-B_m}} \\
&\leq C_\theta\mathbb{P}\{B_n-B_m\leq(n-m)\theta\}+\frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{(n-m)\theta}}\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\sqrt{B_m} \\
&\leq C_\theta\Big\{\rho^{n-m}+\frac{\sqrt{m}}{\sqrt{(n-m)}}\Big\} = C_\theta\Big\{\rho^{n-m}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}-1}}\Big\} \\
&\leq C_\theta\Big\{\rho^{n-m}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}\Big\}, \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\sqrt{x}-\sqrt{y}\leq\sqrt{x-y}$ if $x\geq y\geq 0$.

Now we turn to A''_{12}. Put $\kappa'_n=\kappa_n-W_n-(B_n/2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
A''_{12} &= \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta, B_n>B_m\}}\Big|e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n-W_n-(B_n/2))^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}} \\
&\qquad - e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n-\kappa_m-(W_n-W_m)-(B_n-B_m)/2)^2}{D^2(B_n-B_m)/2}}\Big| \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta, B_n>B_m\}}\Big|e^{-\frac{{\kappa'_n}^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}}-e^{-\frac{(\kappa'_n-\kappa'_m)^2}{D^2(B_n-B_m)/2}}\Big|.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta, 0<B_n-B_m\leq\theta(n-m)\}}\Big|e^{-\frac{{\kappa'_n}^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}}-e^{-\frac{(\kappa'_n-\kappa'_m)^2}{D^2(B_n-B_m)/2}}\Big| \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{P}\{B_n-B_m\leq\theta(n-m)\}\leq 2\rho^{n-m}. \qquad (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to bound

$$\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta,B_n-B_m>\theta(n-m)\}}\Big|e^{-\frac{\kappa_n'^{\,2}}{D^2(B_n/2)}}-e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n'-\kappa_m')^2}{D^2(B_n-B_m)/2}}\Big|.$$

Let

$$b_n=\frac{\kappa_n'}{\sqrt{B_n}}.$$

By using the inequality $|e^{-u}-e^{-v}|\le|u-v|$ valid for all reals $u\ge 0, v\ge 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{D^2}{2}\Big|e^{-\frac{\kappa_n'^{\,2}}{D^2(B_n/2)}}-e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n'-\kappa_m')^2}{D^2(B_n-B_m)/2}}\Big|\\
\le\ & \Big|-\frac{(\kappa_n'-\kappa_m')^2}{(B_n-B_m)}+\frac{\kappa_n'^{\,2}}{B_n}\Big|=\Big|-\frac{(\sqrt{B_n}b_n-\sqrt{B_m}b_m)^2}{B_n-B_m}+b_n^2\Big|\\
=\ & \Big|\frac{-B_nb_n^2-B_mb_m^2+2\sqrt{B_nB_m}b_nb_m}{B_n-B_m}+b_n^2\Big|\\
=\ & \Big|\frac{-B_nb_n^2-B_mb_m^2+2\sqrt{B_nB_m}b_nb_m+B_nb_n^2-B_mb_n^2}{B_n-B_m}\Big|\\
=\ & \Big|\frac{-b_m^2+2\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_m}}b_nb_m-b_n^2}{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1}\Big|=\Big|\frac{-(b_n-b_m)^2+2b_mb_n(\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_m}}-1)}{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1}\Big|\\
\le\ & \frac{2(b_n^2+b_m^2)+2|b_m||b_n|(\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_m}}-1)}{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta,B_n-B_m>\theta(n-m)\}}\Big|e^{-\frac{\kappa_n'^{\,2}}{D^2(B_n/2)}}-e^{-\frac{(\kappa_n'-\kappa_m')^2}{D^2(B_n-B_m)/2}}\Big|\\
\le\ & C\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta,B_n-B_m>\theta(n-m)\}}\Big\{\frac{b_n^2+b_m^2}{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1}+\frac{|b_m||b_n|}{\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_m}}-1}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

On the set $\{B_n>n\theta,B_n-B_m>\theta(n-m)\}$, we notice that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_m}}-1}=\frac{\sqrt{B_m}}{\sqrt{B_n}-\sqrt{B_m}}=\frac{\sqrt{B_m}(\sqrt{B_n}+\sqrt{B_m})}{B_n-B_m}\le\frac{\sqrt{B_m}(\sqrt{B_n}+\sqrt{B_m})}{\theta(n-m)}.$$

We also observe that

$$\mathbb{E}S_m=\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbb{E}_L\big(W_m+D\sum_{j=1}^m\varepsilon_jL_j\big)=\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\big(W_m+\frac{DB_m}{2}\big)=m\mu.$$

Thus $W_m+(DB_m/2)-m\mu=W_m+(DB_m/2)-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\big(W_m+DB_m/2\big)$.

Besides

$$\begin{aligned}
|b_j|\ &=\ \frac{\big|\kappa_j-j\mu-\big(W_j+(B_j/2)-j\mu\big)\big|}{\sqrt{B_j}}\\
&\le\ \frac{C}{\sqrt{B_j}}\Big[\sqrt{j}+\big|S_j'-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S_j'\big|\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted $S'_n = W_m + (B_m/2)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|b_n||b_m|}{\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1}} &\le \frac{C}{\sqrt{B_nB_m}}\frac{\sqrt{B_m}(\sqrt{B_n}+\sqrt{B_m})}{\theta(n-m)} \\ &\quad\times\Big[\sqrt{n}+\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|\Big]\Big[\sqrt{m}+\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|\Big] \\ &\le \frac{C}{\theta(n-m)} \\ &\quad\times\Big[\sqrt{n}+\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|\Big]\Big[\sqrt{m}+\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|\Big] \\ &\le \frac{C\sqrt{nm}}{\theta(n-m)} \\ &\quad\times\frac{\big[\sqrt{n}+\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|\big]\big[\sqrt{m}+\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|\big]}{\sqrt{nm}} \\ &\le \frac{C\sqrt{m}(\sqrt{n}+\sqrt{m})}{\theta(n-m)} \\ &\quad\times\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}}\Big] \\ &= \frac{C}{\theta\big(\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1\big)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}}\Big].\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\,\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}} \\ &\le \Big[\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|^2}{n}\Big]^{1/2}\Big[\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|^2}{m}\Big]^{1/2} \le C.\end{aligned}$$

And also

$$\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\frac{\big|S'_j-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_j\big|}{\sqrt{j}} \le \Big[\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\frac{\big|S'_j-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_j\big|^2}{j}\Big]^{1/2} \le C.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\frac{|b_n||b_m|}{\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1}} \le \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}}\Big]$$

we deduce

$$\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\ \frac{|b_n||b_m|}{\sqrt{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1}} \le \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}. \tag{3.18}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|b_n|^2}{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1} &\le \frac{C}{B_n}\Big[\sqrt{n}+\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|\Big]^2\frac{B_m}{B_n-B_m} \\ &\le C\frac{nB_m}{B_n(B_n-B_m)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]^2 \\ &\le C\frac{B_m}{\theta^2(n-m)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\le C\frac{m}{\theta^2(n-m)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]^2 \\ &= \frac{C}{\theta^2(\frac{n}{m}-1)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]^2 \\ &\le \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta,B_n-B_m>\theta(n-m)\}}\frac{|b_n|^2}{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1} \\ \le\ & \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|}{\sqrt{n}}\Big]^2 \\ \le\ & \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}\Big[1+\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\big|S'_n-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_n\big|^2\Big] \\ \le\ & \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Next $|b_m|\le\frac{C}{\sqrt{B_m}}\Big[\sqrt{m}+\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|\Big]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|b_m|^2}{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1} &\le \frac{C}{B_m}\Big[\sqrt{m}+\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|\Big]^2\frac{B_m}{B_n-B_m} \\ &\le C\frac{mB_m}{B_m(B_n-B_m)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}}\Big]^2 \\ &\le C\frac{m}{\theta(n-m)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}}\Big]^2 \\ &= \frac{C}{\theta(\frac{n}{m}-1)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}}\Big]^2 \\ &\le \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}}\Big]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta,B_n-B_m>\theta(n-m)\}}\frac{|b_m|^2}{\frac{B_n}{B_m}-1} \\ \le\ & \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\Big[1+\frac{\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|}{\sqrt{m}}\Big]^2 \\ \le\ & \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}\Big[1+\frac{1}{m}\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\big|S'_m-\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}S'_m\big|^2\Big] \\ \le\ & \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

By inserting estimates (3.18),(3.19),(3.20) into (3.17), we get

$$\mathbb{E}_{(V,\varepsilon)}\mathbf{1}_{\{B_n>n\theta,B_n-B_m>\theta(n-m)\}}\Big|e^{-\frac{{\kappa'_n}^2}{D^2(B_n/2)}}-e^{-\frac{(\kappa'_n-\kappa'_m)^2}{D^2(B_n-B_m)/2}}\Big|$$

$$\leq \quad \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}. \tag{3.21}$$

This estimate along with (3.15) yields, in view of (3.13),

$$A_1'' \quad \leq \quad 2\rho^{n-m} + \frac{C_\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1}. \tag{3.22}$$

And with (3.11), (3.12), (3.13), (3.14)

$$|A''| \quad \leq \quad C_\theta\Big\{\rho^{n-m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1} + \sqrt{n}\Big\{\frac{1}{n^{3/2}} + \rho^{n-m} + \frac{1}{(n-m)^{3/2}}\Big\}\Big\}. \tag{3.23}$$

Consequently, with (3.9)

$$\begin{aligned} |A'|+|A''| \quad \leq \quad & C_\theta\Big\{\rho^{n-m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1} + \sqrt{n}\Big\{\frac{1}{n^{3/2}} + \rho^{n-m} \\ & +2^{-(n-m)} + \frac{1}{(n-m)^{3/2}}\Big\}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Finally with (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} |A| \quad \leq \quad & C_\theta\Big\{\rho^{n-m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1} + \sqrt{n}\Big\{\frac{1}{n^{3/2}} + \rho^{n-m} \\ & +2^{-(n-m)} + \frac{1}{(n-m)^{3/2}}\Big\}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

And with (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \big|\mathbb{E}Y_nY_m\big| \quad \leq \quad & C_\theta\Big\{\rho^{n-m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1} + \sqrt{n}\Big\{\frac{1}{n^{3/2}} + \rho^{n-m} \\ & +2^{-(n-m)} + \frac{1}{(n-m)^{3/2}}\Big\}\Big\} \\ \leq \quad & C_\theta\Big\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}-1} + \frac{n^{1/2}}{(n-m)^{3/2}}\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

This proves (3.2). Now let $0<c<1$. Let $m \leq cn$. Then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n/m}-1} \leq \Big(\frac{1}{1-\sqrt{c}}\Big)\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}.$$

Further

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(n-m)^{3/2}} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-m}}\ \frac{1}{(n-m)} = \frac{1}{(1-m/n)^{3/2}}\ \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{(1-c)^{3/2}}\ \frac{1}{n}.$$

By incorporating these estimates into (3.20) we get

$$\big|\mathbb{E}Y_nY_m\big| \quad \leq \quad C_\theta\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}. \tag{3.27}$$

This establishes (3.3). The proof is now complete.

Remark 3.1. Although the rate of approximation in the local limit theorem (3.10) for Bernoulli sums used in the proof, be quite sharp, it seems worth to indicate that a better rate can be obtained, with a different centering term however. More precisely,

$$\sup_j \left|\mathbb{P}\{B_n = k\} - \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(2k-n)v - n(\frac{v^2}{2}+\frac{v^4}{12})}\, dv\right| \le C\frac{\log^{7/2} n}{n^{5/2}}.$$

The constant C is absolute. As $\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ijv-n(\frac{v^2}{2}+\frac{v^4}{12})}\, dv = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\frac{j}{\sqrt{n}}w - \frac{w^2}{2} - \frac{w^4}{12n}}\, dw$, $(j = 2k-n)$, a corrective factor $e^{-\frac{w^4}{12n}}$ depending on n appears in this formulation.

4. Application

In this section, we deduce from Theorem 1.1 an almost sure local limit theorem for iid square integrable random variables taking values in an arbitrary lattice $\mathcal{L}(v_0, D)$. In [1] (sections 1,2), the notion of almost sure local limit theorem is introduced in analogy with the usual almost sure central limit theorem: "A stationary sequence of random variables $\{X_n, n \ge 1\}$ taking values in $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{Z}$ with partial sums $S_n = X_1 = \ldots + X_n$ satisfies an almost sure local limit theorem, if there exist sequences $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\{b_n, n \ge 1\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $b_n \to \infty$, such that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n}{n}\chi\{S_n \in k_n + I\} \overset{a.s.}{=} g(\kappa)|I| \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{k_n - a_n}{b_n} \to \kappa, \tag{4.1}$$

where g denotes some density and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is some bounded interval. Further $|I|$ denotes the length of the interval I in the case where X_1 is real valued and the counting measure of I otherwise."

In what follows, we restrict our consideration to the iid case. We assume that $\mathbb{P}\{X_1 \in \mathcal{L}(v_0, D)\} = 1$, $\mathcal{L}(v_0, D) \subset \mathbb{Z}$. We also assume that $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}X_1^2 < \infty$ and let $\mu = \mathbb{E}X_1$.

We begin with an elementary observation. Let $v_0 = 0$ for simplicity. As g is a density, there are reals κ such that $g(\kappa) \neq 0$. Clearly, if $\{k_n, n \ge 1\}$ is such that $\frac{k_n - a_n}{b_n} \to \kappa$, then any sequence $\{\kappa_n, n \ge 1\}$, $\kappa_n = k_n + u_n$ where u_n are uniformly bounded also satisfies this. But we can arrange the u_n so that $\kappa_n \notin \mathcal{L}(0, D)$ for all n. Therefore $\mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\} \equiv 0$. If $I = [-\delta, \delta]$ with $\delta < 1/2$, then $|I| = 1$ and we see that, *no matter* the sequences $\{a_n, n \ge 1\}$ and $\{b_n, n \ge 1\}$ are, property (4.1) cannot hold for the sequence $\{\kappa_n, n \ge 1\}$, since

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n}{n}\chi\{S_n \in k_n + I\} \overset{a.s.}{=} 0 \neq g(\kappa)|I|.$$

It thus appears necessary (also when v_0 is arbitrary) to complete the above definition by introducing the additional requirement:

$$\kappa_n \in \mathcal{L}(nv_0, D), \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots. \tag{4.2}$$

Then $k_n + I \subset L(nv_0, D)$ if and only if $I \subset L(0, D)$. And also to change $|I|$ for $\#\{I \cap \mathcal{L}(0, D)\}$. Then (4.1) is modified as follows:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n}{n} \chi\{S_n \in k_n+I\} \overset{a.s.}{=} g(\kappa)\#\{I\cap\mathcal{L}(0, D)\}, \text{ as } \frac{k_n - a_n}{b_n} \to \kappa, \quad (4.3)$$

where I is a bounded interval. This is coherent with the local limit theorem which relies upon the three parameters μ, σ and the (maximal) span of X_1. It is obvious by invoking a simple additivity argument, that (4.3) holds for any bounded interval I if and only if

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{b_n}{n} \chi\{S_n = k_n\} \overset{a.s.}{=} g(\kappa), \text{ as } \frac{k_n - a_n}{b_n} \to \kappa. \quad (4.4)$$

As mentionned by the authors in [1], p.146, the existence of almost sure local limit theorems is of fundamanental interest. A recent application to a problem of representation of integers in given in [9]. By (1.2), the local limit theorem holds, and if $\kappa_n \in \mathcal{L}(nv_0, D)$ is a sequence which verifies condition (1.3), namely $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\kappa_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}} = \kappa$, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n}\mathbb{P}\{S_n = \kappa_n\} = \frac{D}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{\kappa^2}{2\sigma^2}}. \quad (4.5)$$

We deduce from Theorem 1.1 an almost sure local limit theorem for i.i.d. square integrable random variables taking values in an arbitrary lattice $\mathcal{L}(v_0, D)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a square integrable lattice distributed random variable with maximal span D. Let $\mu = \mathbb{E}X$, $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}X^2 - (\mathbb{E}X)^2$. Let also $\{X_k, k \geq 1\}$ be independent copies of X, and put $S_n = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$, $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n\leq N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}} \overset{a.s.}{=} \frac{D}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)},$$

for any sequence of integers $\{\kappa_n, n \geq 1\}$ such that (1.3) holds.

Remark 4.2. In [1], Corollary 2 (see also p.148-149) the authors show that "the almost sure local limit theorem holds for iid sequences of square integrable $\mathbb{Z}$-valued random variables, that is:

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{n\leq N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}} \overset{a.s.}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)} \quad \text{if } \frac{k_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}} \to \kappa."$$

By the remarks made before concerning (4.1), this statement needs a correction. The proof is sketched as follows. Let ϕ denote the characteristic function of X. By Fourier inversion formula $\mathbb{P}\{S_n = k\} = \int_0^1 e^{-2i\pi kt}\phi^n(t)dt$. Thus

$$\sqrt{nm}\mathbb{P}\{S_n = k_n, S_m = k_m\} = \sqrt{nm}\mathbb{P}\{S_m = k_m\}\mathbb{P}\{S_n - S_m = k_n - k_m\}$$

$$= \sqrt{nm} \int_0^1 e^{-2i\pi k_m t}\phi^m(t)dt \int_0^1 e^{-2i\pi(k_n-k_m)t}\phi^{n-m}(t)dt$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-m}} \int_0^{\sqrt{m}} e^{-2i\pi \frac{k_m}{\sqrt{m}} u}\phi^m(\frac{u}{\sqrt{m}})du \int_0^{\sqrt{n-m}} e^{-2i\pi(\frac{k_n-k_m}{\sqrt{n-m}})u}\phi^{n-m}(\frac{v}{\sqrt{n-m}})dv.$$

By the CLT,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\phi^m\Big(\frac{u}{\sqrt{m}}\Big)=\frac{e^{-\kappa^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}},\qquad \lim_{n-m\to\infty}\phi^{n-m}\Big(\frac{v}{\sqrt{n-m}}\Big)=\frac{e^{-\kappa^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

Next it is claimed that it implies

$$"\sqrt{nm}\mathbb{P}\{S_n=k_n,S_m=k_m\}\to\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-m}\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\kappa^2}}."$$

We presume that this should rather be $\sqrt{nm}\mathbb{P}\{S_n=k_n,S_m=k_m\}\to\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-\kappa^2}$. However, we have not been able to check this. From our main result, we only get

$$\lim_{\substack{n,m\to\infty\\ \frac{n}{m}\to\infty}}\sqrt{nm}\Big|\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n,S_m=\kappa_m\}-\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n\}\mathbb{P}\{S_m=\kappa_m\}\Big|=0.$$

The authors argue that the proof maybe be continued as in the Bernoulli case where a theorem of Mori is invoked. This one requires to have at disposal a correlation bound. For having tried to apply Mori's result with our correlation inequality in Theorem 1.1, this only allowed us to treat subsequences $n=n_k$ with $n_{k=1}/n_k\ge\sqrt{2}$. We believe that the proof needs some complementary explanations.

The notion of quasi-orthogonal system is used in the proof of Theorem 4.1. We recall it briefly. A sequence $\underline{f}=\{f_n,n\ge1\}$ in an Hilbert space H is called (see [7] or [10] p.22) a quasi-orthogonal system if the quadratic form on ℓ_2 defined by $\{x_h,h\ge1\}\mapsto\|\sum_h x_hf_h\|^2$ is bounded. A necessary and sufficient condition for $\underline{f}$ to be quasi-orthogonal is that the series $\sum c_nf_n$ converges in H, for any sequence $\{c_n,n\ge1\}$ such that $\sum c_n^2<\infty$. This follows from the fact that $\underline{f}$ is quasi-orthogonal if and only if there exists a constant L depending on $\underline{f}$ only, such that

$$\Big\|\sum_{i\le n}x_if_i\Big\|\le L\Big(\sum_{i\le n}|x_i|^2\Big)^{1/2}.$$

Further, as observed in [7]: "*Every theorem on orthogonal systems whose proof depends only on Bessel's inequality, holds for quasi-orthogonal systems*". In particular for $H=L^2(X,\mathcal{A},\mu)$, $(X,\mathcal{A},\mu)$ a probability space, Rademacher–Menchov's theorem applies. We recall it (see [10] p.363 for instance).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\{f_n,n\ge1\}\subset H$ be an orthogonal sequence. The series $\sum c_nf_n$ converges almost everywhere provided that $\sum c_n^2\log^2 n<\infty$.*

Proof. We first give the proof under the additional assumption (1.4). Next we establish the result without this one. Assume thus, at first, that assumption (1.4) is fulfilled; the proof is then identical to the one of Theorem 1 in [4]. Put for any positive integer j

$$Z_j=\sum_{2^j\le n<2^{j+1}}\frac{Y_n}{n}.$$

By (1.2),

$$\mathbb{E}Y_n^2=n\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n\}\big(1-\mathbb{P}\{S_n=\kappa_n\}\big)=\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n}).$$

This and the second inequality of Theorem 1.1 imply that $\{Z_j, j \geq 1\}$ is a quasi-orthogonal system. As Rademacher-Menchov Theorem applies to quasi-orthogonal systems, the series

$$\sum_j \frac{Z_j}{j^{1/2}(\log j)^b}$$

thus converges almost surely if $b > 3/2$. By Kronecker's Lemma

$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}(\log N)^b}\sum_{j=1}^{N} Z_j = \frac{1}{N^{1/2}(\log N)^b}\sum_{1\leq n<2^{N+1}} \frac{Y_n}{n} \to 0,$$

as N tends to infinity, almost surely. It is then a routine calculation to derive from this that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log t}\sum_{n\leq t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}} \overset{a.s.}{=} g(\kappa).$$

Now we pass to the general case. On the basis of Remark 1.2, we may "change" X for $X' = S_{n_\kappa}$. But after this is not so simple as it looks, and some extra work is necessary, in order to make this step precise. Let $X'_1, X'_2 \ldots$, be independent copies of X', which we assume to be also independent from the sequence $X_1, X_2 \ldots$, and denote similarly $S'_m = X'_1 + \ldots + X'_m$, $m \geq 1$. The first point to observe is that, given $0 \leq a < n_\kappa$, the sequence $\{S_{a+mn_\kappa}, m \geq 1\}$ has same law as the sequence $\{S_a + S'_m, m \geq 1\}$. It is indeed immediate if we write that $S_{a+mn_\kappa} = S_a + (S_{a+n_\kappa} - S_a) + \ldots (S_{a+mn_\kappa} - S_{a+(m-1)n_\kappa})$, (and not $S_{a+mn_\kappa} = S_{n_\kappa} + \ldots (S_{mn_\kappa} - S_{(m-1)n_\kappa}) + (S_{a+mn_\kappa} - S_{mn_\kappa})$!). Like this, we are thus preliminary led to consider the sequence $\{S_a + S'_m, m \geq 1\}$. But this one is a bit outside from our framework and we have to understand more the role played by the additional independent term S_a. Let $\kappa_n \in L(nv_0, D)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ be a sequence of integers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\kappa_n - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}} = \kappa.$$

Then for any $0 \leq a < n_\kappa$,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\kappa_{a+mn_\kappa} - (a + mn_\kappa)\mu}{\sqrt{m}} = \kappa\sqrt{n_\kappa}.$$

Further, not only $\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\kappa_{a+mn_\kappa} - mn_\kappa\mu}{\sqrt{m}} = \kappa\sqrt{n_\kappa}$, but also for any $0 \leq a < n_\kappa$,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\kappa_{a+mn_\kappa} - S_a - mn_\kappa\mu}{\sqrt{m}} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \kappa\sqrt{n_\kappa}.$$

By noticing that $\{S'_m, m \geq 1\}$ has same law as $\{S_{mn_\kappa}, m \geq 1\}$, next applying (1.2) to X and specifying it for the subsequence $\{mn_\kappa, m \geq 1\}$, we get

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \sup_{N=v_0 mn_\kappa + Dk} \left|\sqrt{m}\mathbb{P}\{S'_m = N\} - \frac{D}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi n_\kappa}} e^{-\frac{(N-mn_\kappa\mu)^2}{2mn_\kappa\sigma^2}}\right| = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Let $\tilde{\kappa}_m = \kappa_{a+mn_\kappa} - S_a$, $0 \leq a < n_\kappa$ being fixed. We have $\kappa_{a+mn_\kappa} \in L((a + mn_\kappa)v_0, D)$, $S_a \in L(av_0, D)$, so $\tilde{\kappa}_m \in L(mn_\kappa v_0, D)$. Thus,

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \sqrt{mn_\kappa}\mathbb{P}\{S'_m = \tilde{\kappa}_m\} \overset{a.s.}{=} \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{D}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\tilde{\kappa}_m - mn_\kappa\mu)^2}{2m\sigma^2}} \overset{a.s.}{=} \frac{D}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

Instead of considering $Y_m = \sqrt{m}\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{S'_m=\kappa_m\}} - \mathbb{P}\{S'_m = \kappa_m\}\big)$, we rather work with

$$Y'_m = \sqrt{mn_\kappa}\big(\mathbf{1}_{\{S'_m=\kappa_m\}} - \mathbb{P}\{S'_m = \kappa_m\}\big).$$

This amounts to the same, apart from the constant factor $\sqrt{n_\kappa}$. By the first step,

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{Y'_m}{m} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0. \tag{4.7}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \Big(\frac{\sqrt{mn_\kappa}}{m} \mathbf{1}_{\{S'_m=\kappa_m - S_a\}} - \frac{\sqrt{mn_\kappa}\mathbb{P}\{S'_m = \kappa_m - S_a\}}{m} \Big) \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0.$$

But

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\sqrt{mn_\kappa}\mathbb{P}\{S'_m = \kappa_m - S_a\}}{m} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{D}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \frac{\sqrt{mn_\kappa}}{m} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_a+S'_m=\kappa_m\}} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{D}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

We deduce that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{m\le N} \frac{\sqrt{mn_\kappa}}{m} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_{a+mn_\kappa}=\kappa_{a+mn_\kappa}\}} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{D}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

Now divide both sides by n_κ. We get

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{m\le N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{mn_\kappa}} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_{a+mn_\kappa}=\kappa_{a+mn_\kappa}\}} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{D}{n_\kappa\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

But this in turn also implies

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log N} \sum_{m\le N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a+mn_\kappa}} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_{a+mn_\kappa}=\kappa_{a+mn_\kappa}\}} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{D}{n_\kappa\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

Now $\log N \sim \log(N+1)n_\kappa$, and by summing the latter over $0 \le a < n_\kappa$, we get

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log(N+1)n_\kappa} \sum_{0\le a<n_\kappa} \sum_{m\le N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a+mn_\kappa}} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_{a+mn_\kappa}=\kappa_m\}}$$

$$= \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log(N+1)n_\kappa} \sum_{n\le(N+1)n_\kappa} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{1}_{\{S_n=\kappa_n\}} \overset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{D}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\kappa^2/(2\sigma^2)}.$$

Hence Theorem 4.1 is proved.

□

References

[1] Denker M., Koch S.: [2002]: *Almost sure local limit theorems*, Statistica Neerlandica. **56** no2, 143-151.

[2] MacDonald D.: [1979] *A local limit theorem for large deviations of sums of independent, non-identically distributed random variables*, Annals of Prob. **7** no. 3, 526–531.

[3] MacDonald D., Davis B.: [1995] *An elementary proof of the local central limit theorem*, J. Theoretical Prob. **8** no. 3, 695–701.

[4] Giuliano-Antonini R., Weber M.: [2010] *Almost sure local limit theorems with rate*, *submitted*.

[5] Gnedenko B.V.: [1969] *Course in the Theory of Probability*, 5th Ed. "Nauka", Moscow, English transl. of 4th ed., Chelsea, New-York,1967.

[6] Ibragimov I.A., Linnik Y.V.: [1971] *Independent and Stationary Sequences of Random Variables*, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing Groningen, The Netherlands.

[7] Kac, M., Salem R., and Zygmund, A.: [1948]: A gap theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63**, 235–243.

[8] Petrov, V.V.: *Sums of Independent Random Variables*, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihre Grenzgebiete **82**, Springer, 1975.

[9] Weber M.: [2010] On representation of integers, *submitted*.

[10] Weber M.: [2009] *Dynamical Systems and Processes*, European Mathematical Society Publishing House, IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics **14**, xiii+761p.

Michel Weber: IRMA, Université Louis-Pasteur et C.N.R.S., 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg Cedex, France.

E-mail address: `michel.weber@math.unistra.fr`

URL: `http://www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/∼weber/`